Dorothy Simon Elem School Winnebago CUSD 323 Winnebago, ILLINOIS

GRADES: 123



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The 2007/2008 school year is the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students, who would have taken the IMAGE in the past, took either the ISAT or PSAE with accommodations; therefore, any comparisons with previous years' achievement levels for this subgroup and their schools and school districts should be made with appropriate caution.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/I	ETHNIC E	BACKGR	OUND AND	OTHER IN	IFORMATIO	N							
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School District	91.7 92.0	1.3 2.5	3.5 3.2	0.6 0.7	1.0	1.9 0.8	15.3 12.4	3.5 2.3		0.3 0.4	6.4 7.6	96.6 95.2	313 1,708
State	54.0	19.2	19.9	3.9	0.0	2.7	41.1	7.5		2.5	14.9	93.3	2,074,167

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. **Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year. **Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL	CONTACT*
	Percent
School	100.0
District	97.0
State	96.8

STUDENT-TO Pupil-	STAFF RATIOS	Pupil-	
Teacher Elementary	Teacher Secondary	Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
17.7	16.7	13.1	176.6
18.3	18.0	13.5	211.6

^{*} Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CL	AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)												
Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12			
School		19.6	20.0	24.0									
District		19.6	20.0	24.0									
State		21.0	21.1	21.7									


TIME DEVO	TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)												
	Ma	themati	cs	Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science			
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	
School	50			30			150			30			
District	50			30			150			30			
State	58			30			145			30			


TEACHER	INFORMATIO	N (Full-Time E	quivalents)					
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District State	100.0 84.9	0.0 8.7	0.0 4.9	0.0 1.3	0.0 0.2	22.9 22.9	77.1 77.1	113 131,488

TEACHER	INFORMATION	(Continued)			
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School District	 12.8	 41.9	 58.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
State	12.4	46.7	53.2	0.7	0.7

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2006-	07		
	District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$8,040,374	51.2	57.6
Other Local Funding	\$1,328,970	8.5	7.3
General State Aid	\$4,656,709	29.7	18.1
Other State Funding	\$1,517,777	9.7	9.7
Federal Funding	\$157,636	1.0	7.3
TOTAL	\$15,701,466		

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 20	06-07		
	District	District %	State %
Education	\$11,257,228	73.8	72.6
Operations & Maintenance	\$1,443,861	9.5	8.5
Transportation	\$894,596	5.9	3.9
Bond and Interest	\$934,075	6.1	6.7
Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
Municipal Retirement/			
Social Security	\$398,261	2.6	1.8
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$329,857	2.2	0.9
Site & Construction/			
Capital Improvement	\$0	0.0	5.6
TOTAL	\$15,257,878		

OTHER FINA	ANCIAL INDICATORS			
	2005 Equalized	2005 Total School	2006-07 Instructional	2006-07 Operating
	Assessed Valuation	Tax Rate	Expenditure	Expenditure
	per Pupil	per \$100	per Pupil	per Pupil
District	\$83,121	5.92	\$4,696	\$8,260
State	**	**	\$5,808	\$9,907

^{**} Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.


Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They respresent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.


The 2007/2008 school year is the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students, who would have taken the IMAGE in the past, took either the ISAT or PSAE with accommodations; therefore, any comparisons with previous years' achievement levels for this subgroup and their schools and school districts should be made with appropriate caution.



Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.


Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.



ISAT PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.



PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

			Ger	nder	Racial/Ethnic Background									Econo-
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	mically Disadv- antaged
	*Enrollment	120	65	55	108	3	7	1		1	7		23	16
School	Reading Mathematics	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0								0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
	*Enrollment	943	518	425	859	27	35	8	10	4	26		147	111
District	Reading Mathematics	0.5 0.5	0.8 0.8	0.2 0.2	0.6 0.6	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0		0.0		0.7 0.7	1.8 1.8
	*Enrollment	1,080,912	552,428	528,334	584,551	209,802	211,723	42,677	1,747	28,936	71,592	349	153,444	459,352
State Re	Reading Mathematics	0.3 0.3	1	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.6 0.6	0.3 0.3	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.3 0.3	0.5 0.5	0.3 0.3

^{*} Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCEN	NTAGE OF ST	TUDENTS	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TESTIN	NG PROGR	AMS FOR S	CIENCE ON	LY					
			Gender		Racial/Ethnic Background									.
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment													
School -	Science													
District	*Enrollment													
DISTRICT	Science													
State	*Enrollment													
State	Science													·

^{*} Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Level 1 -- Academic Warning Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Level 3 -- Meets Standards Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
- Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

Grade 3

Grade 3 - All

		Rea	ding		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
School District State	5.0 5.0 6.8	18.5 18.5 21.5	45.4 45.4 47.6	31.1 31.1 24.2	1.7 1.7 3.5	5.0 5.0 11.4	41.2 41.2 44.1	52.1 52.1 41.0		

Grade 3 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Male											
	School	7.8	20.3	48.4	23.4	3.1	4.7	40.6	51.6		
	District	7.8	20.3	48.4	23.4	3.1	4.7	40.6	51.6		
	State	8.5	23.8	46.4	21.3	4.0	11.2	42.3	42.5		
Female											
	School	1.8	16.4	41.8	40.0	0.0	5.5	41.8	52.7		
	District	1.8	16.4	41.8	40.0	0.0	5.5	41.8	52.7		
	State	4.9	19.1	48.8	27.2	2.9	11.6	45.9	39.5		

Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
White											
	School	4.7	17.8	43.9	33.6	0.9	3.7	42.1	53.3		
	District	4.7	17.8	43.9	33.6	0.9	3.7	42.1	53.3		
	State	3.1	13.4	49.6	33.9	1.0	5.4	39.1	54.5		
Black											
	School										
	District										
	State	12.6	32.2	44.4	10.9	9.4	22.3	49.4	18.9		
Hispanic											
	School										
	District										
	State	11.4	33.5	45.4	9.8	4.6	17.4	53.5	24.6		
Asian/Pacif	fic Islander										
	School										
	District										
	State	2.0	10.8	46.8	40.4	0.6	3.5	28.9	67.0		
Native Ame											
	School										
	District		40.0	540	04.4	4.7	0.0	40.0	40.4		
	State	5.5	18.9	54.2	21.4	1.7	6.3	43.9	48.1		
Multiracial/											
	School										
	District										
	State	5.2	19.4	49.9	25.5	2.1	10.2	47.2	40.5		

Grade 3 - Students with Disabilities

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
IEP											
	School	27.3	31.8	22.7	18.2	9.1	13.6	45.5	31.8		
	District	27.3	31.8	22.7	18.2	9.1	13.6	45.5	31.8		
	State	22.5	34.7	32.8	10.0	10.2	22.0	44.5	23.3		
Non-IEP											
	School	0.0	15.5	50.5	34.0	0.0	3.1	40.2	56.7		
	District	0.0	15.5	50.5	34.0	0.0	3.1	40.2	56.7		
	State	4.4	19.5	49.8	26.3	2.5	9.8	44.0	43.7		

Grade 3 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Free/Reduced Price Lunch										
School	6.7	20.0	73.3	0.0	0.0	13.3	46.7	40.0		
District	6.7	20.0	73.3	0.0	0.0	13.3	46.7	40.0		
State	11.4	31.8	46.1	10.7	6.2	18.6	51.3	23.9		
Not Eligible										
School	4.8	18.3	41.3	35.6	1.9	3.8	40.4	53.8		
District	4.8	18.3	41.3	35.6	1.9	3.8	40.4	53.8		
State	2.7	12.4	48.9	36.0	1.0	5.0	37.7	56.3		

2008 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	Yes
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes

Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?					
2008-09 Federal Improvement Status					
2008-09 State Improvement Status					

	Percent Tested on State Tests			Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *							Other Indicators			
	Read	ding	Mather	natics	Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		62.5			62.5			90.0		75.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	75.0		Yes	100.0		Yes	96.6	Yes		
White	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes										
Black														
Hispanic														
Asian/Pacific Islander														
Native American														
Multiracial /Ethnic														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities														
Economically Disadvantaged														

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

- 1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
- 2. At least 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. ***
- 3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.
- 4. At least 90% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 75% graduation rate for high schools.
- * Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2007.
- ** Safe Harbor Targets of 62.5% or above are not printed.
- *** Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.